4 December 2020



Barcelona Supercomputing Center Centro Nacional de Supercomputación

EXCELENCIA SEVERO OCHOA

## **Climate forecasting**

#### Francisco J. Doblas-Reyes

with contributions from Joaquín Bedia, Nicola Cortesi, Nube González, Carlo Lacagnina, Llorenç Lledó, Raül Marcos, Núria Pérez, Jaume Ramón, Albert Soret, Marta Terrado, Verónica Torralba, Ilaria Vigo and many more

Copyright (c) 2020 Francisco Doblas-Reyes Francisco.doblasreyes@bsc.es

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC-BY-4.0) License





### **Climate and renewable energy**

Renewable energy is growing fast to decarbonize the energy system.

Both energy supply and demand are strongly influenced by atmospheric conditions and its evolution over time in terms of climate variability and change.



By JOE PINKSTONE FOR MAILONLINE Y PUBLISHED: 15:48 BST, 18 July 2018 | UPDATED: 17:29 BST, 18 July 2018



### Predictability sources for weather and climate

Processes of the different components of the climate system act as predictability sources depending on the time scale.

Converting predictability (the possibility of predicting) into actual forecast skill (the ability to predict) is not a trivial task.



#### **Climate time scales**

Observations, process-based or dynamical forecast systems, empirical models, process understanding, are all key pieces to build climate forecasts.

Dynamical forecast systems are used as the standard, but it is reductionist.



#### Adapted from Meehl et al. (2009)

### A non-exhaustive list of relevant elements

- Observational uncertainty: comparison between reanalyses/observations in a forecast production and verification context.
- Definition of standard procedures: standards are less common than one would expect.
- Traceability and quality control: quality control and reproducibility of data and products is increasingly important in the research community, but their operational aspects are not solved yet.
- User indicators: indicators do not have the same level of skill as the meteorological variables.
- Interpretation and communication: users are often not experts, and even when they are it is easy to misunderstand the existing information.
  Communication is a challenge
- Synthesis and narratives: how to deal with multiple lines of evidence in the message constructions.



### Monitoring is key: what we want to predict

Multisource observational estimates of drought using SPEI12 for 1984. Note the probabilistic observational estimates of drought.





### Forecast products and their quality

The prediction process follows a series of steps:

- Formulate a prediction (a product) from the output of a forecast system. The exact definition of the product is very important.
- Select the verification metrics of the product that allow us to adequately represent the attributes of interest and an observational reference.
- Choose a comparison forecast reference that provides a reference level (persistence, climatology or a previous forecast system).
- A prediction is of high quality if it predicts the conditions observed according to some objective criterion better than a reference forecast.
- The prediction has value if it helps the user to obtain some kind of benefit from the decisions he has to make.
- Note that the forecast quality is valid for a specific forecast product. Different products from the same forecast system will show different forecast quality.



#### Users often look for forecast products online

#### **Consistency Map**

Beijing, CPTEC, ECMWF, Exeter, Melbourne, Montreal, Moscow, Offenbach, Seoul, Tokyo, Toulouse, Washington



Consistency Map Beijing,CPTEC,ECMWF,Exeter,Melbourne,Montreal,Moscow,Offenbach,Seoul,Tokyo,Toulouse,Washington

**Precipitation : DJF2020** 

(issued on Nov2020)



\*\* where, the positive numbers mean the number of models that predict positive anomaly and vice versa. \*\*



#### WMO Lead Centre for Long-Range Forecast Multi-Model Ensemble

### What does a targeted prediction look like?

#### Seasonal forecasts for Jan-Mar 2015



Probability of terciles Above normal Normal Below normal Probability of extremes Above P90 Below P10 Ensemble members

Observation

Seasonal predictions of DJF capacity factor over North America (124-95°W, 26-44°N) starting on the first of October, November and December for the first trimester of 2015, ECMWF SEAS5, reanalysis: ERA-Interim, hindcasts over 1993-2015.

	Oct	Nov	Dec
RPSS	0.23	0.25	0.24
BS P10	-0.18	-0.23	-0.16
BS P90	0.06	0	0.03
CRPSS	0.11	0.08	0.08
EnsCorr	0.5	0.45	0.42



#### **Sources of uncertainty of forecast quality**

Niño3.4 SST correlation of the ensemble mean for EC-Earth3.1 (T511/ORCA025) predictions with ERAInt and GLORYS2v1 initial conditions, and BSC sea-ice reconstruction started every May over 1993-2009.



#### Prediction skill ENSO



Bellprat et al. (2017, Rem. Sens. Env.)

### **Observational uncertainty in verification**



Season: DJF Start date: 1st Nov

Barcelona Supercomputing Center Centro Nacional de Supercomputación

#### V. Torralba

### Bias adjustment, multi-model

- Bias adjustment and calibration
  - All bias adjustment and recalibration methods effectively remove bias
  - Added value of sophisticated methods (e.g. EMOS) small to inexistent due to limited hindcast length (and low skill)
- Multi-model combination
  - No forecast system consistently outperforms others
  - Multi-model combination is beneficial
  - Avoid the temptation of identifying inadequate data sources to e.g. discard "bad" forecast systems.



### **Bias adjustment and forecast quality**

Skill of JJA temperature from ECMWF SEAS5 + recalibration: CRPSs of JJA near-surface temperature from ECMWF SEAS 5 initialized in May, calibrated with the climate-conserving recalibration (CCR) and verified against ERA Interim for 1993-2014.





Hemri et al. (2019, Clim. Dyn.)

#### Multi-model and forecast quality

The systematic evaluation and intercomparison of different seasonal forecast systems is essential for the generation of a robust forecast product that is delivered in an operational climate service. This is a simple way to combine information from different systems to guide users about the potential of different seasonal forecast systems.

Period: 1993-2016 Season: DJF Start date: 1st Nov. Feb, May, Aug Reference: FRA5 Variable : Sea level pressure

> Barcelona Supercomputing





#### **Multi-model and forecast quality**

CRPSS of JJA temperature from ECMWF SEAS 5, Météo-France System 5, MetOffice GloSea5, initialized in May, all systems recalibrated with CCR and weighted (RMSE) averaging of forecast PDF and verified against ERA Interim for 1993-2014.





Hemri et al. (2019, Clim. Dyn.)

### **Bias adjustment and forecast quality**

Skill of JJA temperature from ECMWF SEAS5 + recalibration: CRPSs of JJA near-surface temperature from, ECMWF SEAS 5 initialized in May, calibrated with the climate-conserving recalibration (CCR) and verified against ERA Interim for 1993-2014.





Hemri et al. (2019, Clim. Dyn.)

### **Multi-model climate prediction**

However, users do not always understand why multi-model is the preferable option.

CRPSS of DJF two-metre temperature for C3S forecasts initialized in November, all systems bias adjusted (MVA) compared to a simple and weighted multi-model (as inverse function of RMSE). Bottom gain of the best multi-model with respect to the best single system. Verified against ERA Interim for 1993-2015.





Best MM - Best indiv 45 -0.35 -0.25 -0.15 -0.05 0.05 0.15 0.25 0.35 0.4

#### V. Torralba

# Always consider: climate information requirements

- Salience: It refers to the relevance of information for an actor's decision choices. Often interesting scientific questions are far from a real-world situation.
- Credibility: It refers to whether an actor perceives information as meeting standards of scientific plausibility and technical adequacy. Sources must be trustworthy and/or technically believable.
- Legitimacy: It refers to whether an actor perceives the climate information process as unbiased and meeting standards of political and procedural fairness. The information should be unbiased.





Reputation

Values

Transparency

Standards

Cash et al. (2003, PNAS)